

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/ Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	<u>Status/Comments</u>
1	2711  C02-3217  378615  E	<p><b>Title:</b> Allow coordinated conversion for Pair Changes during Loop provisioning-</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Currently when a hot cut is scheduled and a NDT condition on one or more lines cannot be resolved, the CLEC is required to send a supp, changing the affected pair (s). The only option to the standard 5-day interval for this type of change is a cumbersome escalation process to the NMC and RCCC to get management personnel to push the request through. We would like an automated process that will update CFA information quickly without management intervention. The real intent of this initiative is to move the provisioning interval for a supp to change the CFA from 5 days to 1 day.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order; <b>Jurisdiction:</b> North, South; <b>System:</b> Other; <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE-Hot Cut; <b>LSOG Version :</b> 5 and above</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Loriann Burke, XO 10/18/02</p>	<p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>Allegiance = 5 AT&amp;T = 5 Broadview = 5 Cavalier = 5 Choice One= 5 Conversent=5 Covad = 5 Cox = 5 CTSI= 5 Met Tel = 4.5 MetroTelconnct =P OneCom=5 TalkAmerica=P MCI= 5</p>	<p><b>STATUS: Feasible</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: An automated CFA update process is not currently available as the frame must do manual work to activate the new pair and remove the old once given the go ahead. A manual proactive process is in place at DD-2 in the East when the pre-wire is done by the frame. If a supplement is required it can be escalated for a shorter date.</b></p> <p>10/10/07 Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Confirmed this is East only as West has “Coordinated Conversion” process. Title updated to more closely reflect work requested. Initiator/company updated. 9/14/04 Not Scheduled. 5/4/04 Updated Title to reflect revised intent – topic resubmitted for evaluation 4/13/04 Not scheduled 2/10/04 Allegiance requested issue revisited 11/12/03 Updated status 10/7/03 Updated Description. 11/12/02 – Rated by CLECs; LOE = Low 11/5/02 – New to list</p>

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	Status/Comments
3	C05-2622  396339  W	<p><b>Title:</b> Enhance the WISE Interface to pull the pre-order data into the LSR</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Enhance the WISE interface to allow Pre-Order Address Validations, Reserved TNs, and Appointment Reservations RESIDs to be auto-populated into an LSR.</p> <p>Expand Pre Order to Order integration to include use of order templates. Primary issue is pulling over valid address from Pre Order while using custom templates.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order &amp; Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, <a href="#">Integra</a> (Eschelon), 11/22/05</p>	<p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P Comcast = P Covad = P Eschelon = 5 HTC = 5 Integra = 5 Priority One = 5 Sprint = P Telcove = 5 Time W = P Trinsic = P VarTec = 5 XO = 5</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: We already allow the creation of LSRs using an existing Preorder in WISE.</b></p> <p>10/24/07 – Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Verizon notes that very rough level of effort deemed this a large effort.</p> <p>01/17/06 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.</p>

June 10, 2014

Page 2 of 11

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/ Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	<u>Status/Comments</u>
5	C06-0862  W	<p>Title: Drop project requirement for Return to Native port in orders.</p> <p>Description: Eschelon proposes that Verizon drop the Return to Native project requirement for port in to Resale and UNE-P requests.</p> <p>Process: Ordering Jurisdiction: West Transaction: LSR</p> <p>Systems: Wise, EDI</p> <p>Primary Area: Resale, UNE P,</p> <p>Documentation: Order Business Rules</p> <p>LSOG Version: 6 and &gt;</p> <p>Initiator: Kim Issacs, Eschelon 4/12/2006</p>	<p>Overall = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T (L) = P</p> <p>ATX = 5</p> <p>Broadview = P</p> <p>Choice One= 5</p> <p>Comcast = 5</p> <p>Covad = P</p> <p>Cox = P</p> <p>CTC = 5</p> <p>CTSI= P</p> <p>Eschelon = 5</p> <p>Electric Light = P</p> <p>HTC = P</p> <p>MetTel = 5</p> <p>Mountain = P</p> <p>Penn</p> <p>Telecom=5</p> <p>Sprint = 5</p> <p>Synchronoss = P</p> <p>Telcove = 5</p> <p>Telscape = 5</p> <p>Time Warner = 5</p> <p>Trinsic =</p> <p>Unity Com = 5</p> <p>XO = P</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: For a 'port in' there is no need for a PROJECT.</b></p> <p>11/13/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Customer advised due date window could be up to 30 day due to project requirement. 5/9/06 Ranked.</p>

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	Status/Comments
6	47038 W	<p><b>Title:</b> Allow for correct and convenient determination if a loop should be ordered as designed or non-designed</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting that Verizon Create a new field that clearly states if the loop should be ordered as designed or non-designed. Currently the CLEC is required to check various fields on the Loop Qual transaction to make the determination and still may order incorrectly and receive a Jep on due date.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> Loop Qual</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> Wise, EDI, Corba <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Laurie Fredrickson, Integra 1/9/2007</p>	<p>Overall = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 5 AT&amp;T C/T = 5 ATX = P Broadview =5 Covad = 5 COS = 5 Comcast = 5 CTSI = P HTC = 5 Integra Telecom = 5 Penn Telecom= P Sprint = P Time Warner = P Trinsic = P XO = 5</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The designed/non-designed determination is an engineering function rather than an ordering function and is not currently available in Pre-Order.</b></p> <p>11/13/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. 2/13/07 Ranked.</p>

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

8	<b>CR1003850</b>  <b>E/W</b>	<p><b>Title:</b> Replace current EDI and CORBA eBonding interfaces with industry standard UOM-LSR XML Web Services interface.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to Replace current EDI and CORBA eBonding interfaces with industry standard UOM-LSR XML Web Services interface.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Transaction:</b> LSR, CSI, SAR, SAR</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P, Interconnection, LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Bennet Pang Comcast 02/11/11</p>	<p>Overall = 5</p> <p>Synchronoss =p</p> <p>Frontier-ctsi=p</p> <p>Paetec=p</p> <p>Customcall=p</p> <p>Neustar=p</p> <p>ATT=5</p> <p>Comcast=5</p> <p>Covad=5</p> <p>Broadview=5</p> <p>Birch=p</p> <p>XO=5</p> <p>Sprint=5</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS:</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: CORBA has been phased out. EDI uses NDM which is being replaced with Managed File Transfer (MFT) Platform Server.</b></p> <p>Ranked 07/12/11</p>
---	------------------------------------	---	---	---

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

9	<b>CR1003851</b>  <b>E</b>	<p><b>Title:</b> Feature Detail Field</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to expand the Feature Detail field to &gt; 200 characters on all of our forms we use to submit PONs in both LSI and EDI.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI <b>EDI</b></p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Kimberly A. Bellew Broadview 03/07/11</p>	<p>Overall = 5</p> <p>Synchronoss =p</p> <p>Frontier-ctsi=p</p> <p>Paetec=p</p> <p>Customcall=p</p> <p>Neustar=p</p> <p>ATT=p</p> <p>Comcast=p</p> <p>Covad=p</p> <p>Broadview=5</p> <p>Birch=p</p> <p>XO=p</p> <p>Sprint=p</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS:</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The current length of 200 matches the length defined by OBF, so this change would need a Business Rule change as well as changes to the downstream systems which currently do not accommodate additional fields.</b></p> <p>Ranked 07/12/11</p>
---	----------------------------------	---	---	--

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/ Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	Status/Comments
32	081125107  E	<p><b>Title:</b> T5 East - Expand TELNO Field to Allow 14 Characters</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Change the TELNO field on the EU tab to enable 14 digit TNs, as opposed to 12.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order, Repair <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSR <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P, Interconnection, LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Mary Ewing, One Communications 11/25/2008</p>	<p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P Covad = P Eschelon = 5 HTC = P Integra = 5 MCI = 4 SBC = 5 Sprint = P Telcove = 4 Time W = P Trinsic = 4 XO = 4 Logics = P</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: EU TELNO allows 14 characters. Updated in 2010.</b></p> <p>12/09/08 – Rate by CLECs 11/25/08 – New to List.</p>

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	Status/Comments
35	C03-0439  381932 2894 E	<p><b>Title:</b> POTS Reuse for T1 Service</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to provide the CLECs with the ability to review end user address specific circuit information that would enable the CLEC to evaluate/propose rearrangements of end user lines/circuits when a no facility condition is encountered for UNE T1 orders. This circuit specific information would include those lines/circuits also provided by other CLECs.</p> <p>Upon CLEC request of T1 service, Verizon should do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Assign CLEC service order with available qualified facilities.</li> <li>- If no qualified facilities are available, Verizon should review user address telecommunication services to identify potential facilities rearrangement, including identifying the ability to leave switch port active, to satisfy the CLEC service order.</li> <li>- Upon identifying the potential qualified facilities, in regards to the above #2, Verizon should inform the CLEC and await their decision to move forward or cancel the service order.</li> </ul> <p><b>Process:</b> Preorder; <b>Jurisdiction:</b> MA, NY, DC, MD, NJ, PA; <b>System:</b> LSI, EDI; <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P; <b>LSOG Version:</b> 5 and above.</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Loriann Burke, XO 3/17/03</p>	<p>Overall = 4.2            Allegiance = 5            AT&amp;T = 3.5            Broadview = P            Cat Comm= P            Cavalier = 4            Choice One= 5            Covad = 4            Cox = 3            CTSI= 5            Met Tel = 4.5            One Comm = 4            Penn Telecom = 4            Remi Telecom = 4.5            TalkAmerica = 4            VarTec = 3.5            MCI= P            Z-Tel = P</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: Verizon does not modify existing or build new facilities to provide unbundled DS1 service.</b></p> <p>01/31/08 Updated initiating company and contact.            01/29/08 Edits made to change request.            12/19/07 Industry Review Completed.            Additional requirements to be submitted.            Mike Clancy sent in edits.            9/14/04 Not Scheduled.            5/4/04 Under Review            10/7/03 Changed to Not Scheduled            8/5/03 Candidate February 2004            4/8/03 New to list/LOE=Low</p>

June 10, 2014



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/ Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	<u>Status/Comments</u>
42	C06-0515  W	<p><b>Title:</b> Revise the trigger for the Completion Notice</p> <p><b>Description:</b> AT&amp;T is requesting that Verizon provide a Billing Completion Notice, in addition to the Provisioning Completion. There is no other way to determine when the Verizon systems work is done, without the receipt of the two completions. There could also be an impact to repair processes due to the lack of a valid Billing Completion.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Mary Halpin, AT&amp;T, 03/03/06</p>	<p>Overall = 3.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5 Comcast = 4 Covad = 4 Eschelon = 4 HTC = 4 Integra = 4 Lakefield = 3 Priority One = 4 Sprint = 3 Telcove = 4 Time W = 4 Trinsic = 5 XO = 3</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: Verizon currently provides both a PCN and a BCN.</b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed. Verizon designates this request as feasible. 03/21/06 – Ranked.</p>

June 10, 2014

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	Status/Comments
43	C06-0207  E/W	<p><b>Title:</b> Expand the PHONE field in the Trouble Administration using TAXI TML services.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to expand the PHONE field to allow the ability to enter a contact reach TN with an extension #.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Trouble Administration <b>Jurisdiction:</b> East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> TAXI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P, UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Technical Specifications for TAXI</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Janice Ziegele, Broadview 1/24/2006</p>	<p>Overall = 3.8</p> <p>ATX = 4 Broadview = 5 Choice One = P Comcast = P Covad = P Cox = 4 CTSI = P Eschelon = P HTC = P Integra = P ITC Delta = Lakefield = P Met Tel = Penn Telcom = 3 Priority One = P REMI = 3 RCN = P Qwest = P Sprint = P Telcove = P Time W = P Trinsic = P U4 = VarTec = P XO = P</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The field was expanded to 18 characters per the current technical specifications.</b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed. Verizon designates this request as feasible. Melissa Delmonico (Verizon) advised. 3/14/06 Ranked East 3/21/06 Ranked West</p>

June 10, 2014

Page 10 of 11

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

Rank	CR#/ Type	Description of CR	CLEC Ratings	<u>Status/Comments</u>
47	CR10740  PA	<p><b>Title: Update the Blocking Matrix to reflect the availability of the block V in the 814 area code.</b></p> <p><b>Description:</b> Block V in the POTs Blocking Matrix shows as available in PA under area codes 215, 610 and 412. We have an example PON where we used the block in 814 are code also. <b>Could we please have the matrix updated to reflect the availability of the block in the 814 area code?</b></p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> PA</p> <p><b>Systems: Primary Area:</b> Resale,UNE,UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Ordering Business rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> LSOG 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Judi O'Day - Granite</p>	<p>Overall = 4</p> <p>AT&amp;T – P Ericsson – P Granitenet – 5 Lumosnet – 3 Neustar – P RiverRock Systems – 3 Synchronous – P Windstream – 5 XO – P Accenture - 4</p>	<p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: Any update to the blocking matrix will need to be implemented in a CLEC impacting release, since that's the only time VZ can update the Business Rules.</b></p> <p>04/09/2013 - Ranked</p>

June 10, 2014

Page 11 of 11